FACILITY DESIGN MAXIMIZING EFFICIENCY AND THROUGHPUT



KURT ROSENTRATER IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY DISTILLERS GRAINS TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL

TODAY'S OUTLINE

- Today's objectives
- Introduction/goals
- Historical perspectives
- Facility overview
- Efficiencies in design, construction, & operations
- Final thoughts

TODAY'S OBJECTIVES

- Many existing facilities upgrade each year
- Many new facilities constructed each year
- Continual need to service grain industry
- Design data, information & procedures vital
 - Substantial focus on farm-scale
 - Commercial-scale scientific knowledge needs more
 - Anecdotal
 - Proprietary

INTRODUCTION

- Overarching goals for grain storage facilities
 - Protect grain
 - Weather, insects, rodents, birds, mold
 - Maintain quality after harvest
 - Storage cannot improve upon quality
 - But: poor storage can result in poor quality (deterioration)
 - Repository for local grain supplies
 - Shipping point to end-use destinations via
 - Trucks, rail cars, ships

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES

- 1842
 - Buffalo, NY
 - Joseph Dart
 - First wood elevator
 - 50,000 bu



S Sannunintinde

In 1842, the world's first steam powered elevator to transfer and store grain opened on this site. Buffalo merchant, Joseph Dart, and machinist. Robert Dunbar, built the elevator following precedents set by Oliver Evans. Its basic principles are still used in elevators along Buffalo's waterfront.

> THE INDUSTRIAL HERITAGE COMMITTEE, INC. Ruffald and the county historical society 1990



- 1899
 - Minneapolis, MN
 - F.H. Peavey & C. F. Haglin
 - Experimental slip-formed concrete silo
 - 68 ft high, 20 ft diameter
 - "Peavey's Folly"



HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES

- The Young Mill-Wright and Miller's Guide
 - Oliver Evans & Thomas Ellicott, 1795









- Modern facilities have much greater
 - Storage capacities
 - Equipment capacities
 - Yearly throughputs
 - Dust control systems
 - Automations & controls
 - Safety measures



FACILITIES – FARM SCALE





FACILITIES - FARM SCALE

ROCK



S UKU D

FACILITIES – CO-OP SCALE

FACILITIES – COMMERCIAL SCALE







FACILITIES – COMMERCIAL SCALE







- Regardless of type, arrangement, or size
 - Proper selection, sizing, and location are essential to successful grain storage
 - All components must work together
 - Only as strong as the weakest link
 - Only as fast as your slowest operation
 - Want an efficient operation
- Commercial facilities
 - Typically handle more than 20,000 bu/hr
 - Can store from several thousand, up to several million, bushels at one time

- Many common components and systems
 - Primary components
 - Receiving
 - Distribution
 - Storage
 - Reclaim
 - Loadout
 - All facilities utilize these components
 - Many of these can drive design choices



- Many common components and systems
 - Secondary components
 - Cleaning
 - Aeration
 - Drying
 - Dust control
 - Sampling
 - Instrumentation and controls
 - Not all facilities utilize these components to the same degree
 - Don't drive the design choices

Large Grains Elevator





- Many types, arrangements, and sizes are available for commercial operations
 - Choices depend on
 - Individual client needs and requirements / opinions
 - Operational flexibility
 - Future expansion
 - Creativity and imagination
 - <u>Cost</u>
 - Efficiencies in design, construction, & operations

- Purpose
 - Introduce incoming grain into the storage facility
 - Transfer grain to distribution system
 - Grain typically delivered with wagons or trucks (rail cars)
- Design considerations
 - Maximize throughput; minimize wait (esp. harvest)
 - Hopper volume: up to 1000 bu or more
 - Capacity: ~ 20,000 bu/hr
 - Orifices, gates, spouts, conveyors
 - Valley angle: > angle of repose
 - Limiting factor, not side/face slopes



	Angl	e of Rep	ose (°)	Bulk	Density	(lb/ft ³)	Aerody Trans Velocity	ynamic sport (ft/min)
Grain	Ran	ge	Average	Rar	nge	Average	Ran	ge
Barley	24	35	28	36	48	42	3300	
Corn	21	26	23			45	3150	3300
Milo	20	33	26	40	45	43	3300	
Oats	24	32	28	25	35	30	2400	2700
Rice	31	41	36	32	36	34	3300	
Sorghum	27	33	29	32	46	39	3300	
Soybeans	22	35	28	45	50	48	3600	
Wheat (durum)	22	25	23	45	48	47	3300	
Wheat (red)	19	38	25	45	48	47	3300	

• Design considerations

Probe & scale location



Grate opening area





Above ground vs. underground



RECEIVING

- 2 most common types
- Tradeoffs
 - Gravity
 - Deeper pit, or
 - Higher receiving floor
 - Conveyor
 - Shallower pit
 - Carryover
 - Maintenance



RECEIVING

YOU SHOULD MODEL YOUR SYSTEM

MAX THEORETICAL DAILY INBOUND = 200,000 BU

Receiving leg too large

Receiving leg adequate

Receiving leg too small

MAX THEORETICAL DAILY INBOUND = 200,000 BU

We can examine scale/probe locations

	BASE CASE				SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE				SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE	& NO SLACK A	AT DUMP	
	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)
	Scale time	30	0.5	0.008	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Probe time	60	1	0.017	Probe time	60	1	0.017	Probe time	60	1	0.017
	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008
	Dump time	180	3	0.050	Dump time	180	3	0.050	Dump time	180	3	0.050
	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008	Slack time	0	0	0.000
	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	360	6	0.100	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	300	5	0.083	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	270	4.5	0.075
	Work Day	36000	600	10.000	Work Day	36000	600	10.000	Work Day	36000	600	10.000
	Trucks / H	10.0			Trucks / H	12.0			Trucks / H	13.3		
	Trucks / Day	100.0			Trucks / Day	120.0			Trucks / Day	133.3		
	Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	1000.0			Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	1000.0			Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	1000.0		
	Inbound / Day (bu/day)	100000.0			Inbound / Day (bu/day)	120000.0			Inbound / Day (bu/day)	133333.3		
	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	5.56	333.33	20000	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	5.56	333.33	20000	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	5.56	333.33	20000
	Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	20000			Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	20000			Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	20000		
Actual Dump	Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000		_	Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000		_	Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000		
Actual Dump	Hopper fills	1			Hopper fills	1000			Hopper fills	1000		
	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050
Theoretical Dump	Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100			Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100			Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100		
(no pit restriction)	Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2)	2808			Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2)	2808			Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2)	2808		
	Grain flow (bu/h)	280800			Grain flow (bu/h)	280800			Grain flow (bu/h)	280800		
	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004
Receivir	ng leg too large	F	Receivir	ng leg	adequate Rece	eiving l	eg too s	small				

MAX THEORETICAL DAILY INBOUND = 200,000 BU

We can examine size of trucks

EFFECT OF TRUCK SIZE	SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE				SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE				SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE			
	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)
	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Probe time	60	1	0.017	Probe time	60	1	0.017	Probe time	60	1	0.017
	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008
	Dump time	180	3	0.050	Dump time	180	3	0.050	Dump time	180	3	0.050
	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008
	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	300	5	0.083	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	300	5	0.083	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	300	5	0.083
	Work Day	36000	600	10.000	Work Day	36000	600	10.000	Work Day	36000	600	10.000
	Trucks / H	12.0		_	Trucks / H	12.0			Trucks / H	12.0		
	Trucks / Day	120.0			Trucks / Day	120.0			Trucks / Day	120.0		
	Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	500.0			Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	1000.0			Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	1500.0		
	Inbound / Day (bu/day)	60000.0		_	Inbound / Day (bu/day)	120000.0			Inbound / Day (bu/day)	180000.0		
	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	2.78	166.67	10000	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	5.56	333.33	20000	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	8.33	500.00	30000
	Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	20000			Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	20000			Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	20000		
								_		1000		
Actual Dump	Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000			Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000			Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000		
	Hopper fills	0.5			Hopper fills	1			Hopper fills	1.5		
	Pit Empty Time	90	1.5	0.025	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050	Pit Empty Time	270	4.5	0.075
Theoretical Dump	Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100		_	Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100			Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100		
(pit not restriction)	Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2)	2808			Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2)	2808			Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2)	2808		
	Grain flow (bu/h)	280800			Grain flow (bu/h)	280800			Grain flow (bu/h)	280800		
	Time to Empty Truck	6.410	0.107	0.002	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004	Time to Empty Truck	19.231	0.321	0.005

Receiving leg too large Receiving leg adequate Receiving leg too small

MAX THEORETICAL DAILY INBOUND = 200,000 BU

We can examine dump time

EFFECT OF DUMP TIME	SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE				SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE	E			SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE	E		
	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)
	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Probe time	60	1	0.017	Probe time	60	1	0.017	Probe time	60	1	0.017
	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008
	Dump time	180	3	0.050	Dump time	150	2.5	0.042	Dump time	120	2	0.033
	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008	Slack time	30	0.5	0.008
	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	300	5	0.083	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	270	4.5	0.075	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	240	4	0.067
	Work Day	36000	600	10.000	Work Day	36000	600	10.000	Work Day	36000	600	10.000
	Trucks / H	12.0			Trucks / H	13.3			Trucks / H	15.0		
	Trucks / Day	120.0			Trucks / Day	133.3			Trucks / Day	150.0		
	Capcity / Truck (bu/truck) Inbound / Day (bu/day)	1000.0 120000.0		_	Capcity / Truck (bu/truck) Inbound / Day (bu/day)	1000.0 133333.3			Capcity / Truck (bu/truck) Inbound / Day (bu/day)	1000.0 150000.0		
	Receiving Flow (bu/time) Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	5.56 20000	333.33	20000	Receiving Flow (bu/time) Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	6.67 20000	400.00	24000	Receiving Flow (bu/time) Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	8.33 20000	500.00	30000
Actual Dump	Hopper/Pit Size (bu) Hopper fills	1000 1		_	Hopper/Pit Size (bu) Hopper fills	1000 1			Hopper/Pit Size (bu) Hopper fills	1000 1		
	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050	Pit Empty Time	180	3	0.050
Theoretical Dump (pit not restriction)	Gate flow (bu/h/in2) Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2) Grain flow (bu/h)	100 2808 280800			Gate flow (bu/h/in2) Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2) Grain flow (bu/h)	100 2808 280800			Gate flow (bu/h/in2) Gate area 2 - 26" x 54" (in2) Grain flow (bu/h)	100 2808 280800		
	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004	Time to Empty Truck	12.821	0.214	0.004
Receivin	ng leg too large	R	eceivin	g leg a	adequate Rece	eiving I	eg too	small				

RECEIVING

MORE EFFICIEN

SIMULTANEOUS SCALE/PROBE

EFFICIENT				
	TASK	TIME (sec)	TIME (min)	TIME (h)
	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Probe time	60	1	0.017
	Drive time	30	0.5	0.008
	Dump time	96	1.6	0.027
	Slack time	0	0	0.000
	Drive time	0	0	0.000
	Scale time	0	0	0.000
	TOTAL CYCLE TIME / TRUCK	186	3.1	0.052
	Work Day	36000	600	10.000
	Trucks / H	19.4		
	Trucks / Day	193.5		
	Capcity / Truck (bu/truck)	1600.0		
	Inbound / Day (bu/day)	309677.4		
	Receiving Flow (bu/time)	16.67	1000.00	60000
	Receiving Leg Capacity (bu/h)	60000		
Actual Dump	Hopper/Pit Size (bu)	1000		
	Hopper fills	1.6		
	Pit Empty Time	96	1.6	0.027
Theoretical Dump	Gate flow (bu/h/in2)	100		
(pit not restriction)	Gate area $2 - 26^{\circ} \times 54^{\circ}$ (In2)	280800		
	Grain flow (bu/n)	280800	0.242	0.000
		20.513	0.342	0.006

Receiving leg too large

Receiving leg adequate

Receiving leg too small

- Purpose
 - Transport grain to appropriate storage locations
 - Bucket elevators: vertical transfer
 - Drag or belt conveyors: horizontal transfer
 - Screw conveyors seldom used
 - Distributors & spouting: various transfers
 - Square vs. round; lined vs. unlined
- Design considerations
 - Equipment must be sized ≥ receiving rate
 - Volumetric throughput (bu/h)
 - Power consumption (hp)

- Which is best?
- Trade-offs
- Motor hp vs. tower/support steel vs. grain damage
- World's tallest bucket elevator
 - Cement in China
 - 600 t/h
 - H = 575 ft tall
 - P = 450 hp

• Spout angles

Product	Min	Preferred
Whole Grains	37	40
Ground Grains	50	60
Ground Feed	50	60
Wet Pelleted Feed	50	60
Dry Pelleted Feed	40	45
Fines/Dust	50	60

Flux	Situation
60 bu/h/in ²	40 deg. slope (10 on 12), whole grains
75 bu/h/in ²	45 deg. slope (12 on 12,) whole grains
100 bu/h/in ²	Vertical spouting

Steeper slope = greater flow rate

DISTRIBUTION

- Spout angle vs. angle of repose
 - Grain: 40° to 50°
- Spouting flow rate flux (bu/h/in²)
 - Spout size, shape, length, angle, liner
 - Grain size, shape, length, moisture, friction with spout wall
- Steeper slope = greater flow rate
- Generally industry nomographs for this information

MINUTE OR LESS Yellow Area — Need Inline Flow Retarders Material will not flow

15[°]

100

Velocity Chart

To be used in layout and selection of velocity reduction equipment.

- Many options are available
 - Silos, bins, flat storage
 - Capacity will be affected by bin fill & grain properties
 - Type of grain
 - Moisture content
 - Angle of repose
 - Number of conveyor discharges
 - Location of conveyor discharges
 - Will never achieve "level full"

Wet bins: design for high AoR Dry grain: design for average AoR

- Will never achieve "level full"
 - Multiple fill points will make more effective fill
 - Decreases unused bin space
 - More effective capacity

- Effective storage volumes
 - Can be optimized by top fill: number of inlets, locations
 - Affected by angle of repose
 - CAD solid modeling essential

Interstice bin

Round bin

End of Part 1